

European Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Research



Journal homepage: www.mcmed.us/journal/ejpsr

IMPORTANCE OF STRUCTURAL ACTIVITY RELATIONSHIP IN COMPUTER AIDED DRUG DESIGN - A REVIEW

M. Shankar^{*1}, B. K. Srividya¹, K. Hemachandar¹, P. Sivanna¹, M. Niranjan Babu²

 ¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Seven Hills College of Pharmacy, Venkataramapuram, Tirupathi, Andhra Pradesh-517561, India.
²Department of Pharmacognosy, Seven Hills College of Pharmacy, Venkataramapuram, Tirupathi, Andhra Pradesh-517561, India.

Article Info	ABSTRACT
Received 20/10/2013	Quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR) represent an attempt to correlate
Revised 14/12/2013	structural or property descriptors of compounds with activities. These physicochemical
Accepted 15/12/2013	descriptors, which include parameters to account for hydrophobicity, topology, electronic properties, and steric effects, are determined empirically or, more recently, by
Key words:	computational methods. Activities used in QSAR include chemical measurements and
Hydrophobicity,	biological assays. QSAR currently are being applied in many disciplines, with many
Computer-Aided Drug	pertaining to drug design and environmental risk assessment. Current Computer-Aided
Design, Quantitative	Drug Design aims to publish all the latest developments in drug design based on
structure.	computational techniques. The field of computer-aided drug design has had extensive
	impact in the area of drug design. Current Computer-Aided Drug Design is an essential
	journal for all medicinal chemists who wish to be kept informed and up-to-date with all the
	latest and important developments in computer-aided methodologies and their applications
	in drug discovery. Each issue contains a series of timely, in-depth reviews written by
	leaders in the field, covering a range of computational techniques for drug design,
	screening, ADME studies, etc., providing excellent rationales for drug development.

INTRODUCTION Structure–activity relationship (SAR)

The SAR is the relationship between the chemical or 3D structure of a molecule and its biological activity. The analysis of SAR enables the determination of the chemical groups responsible for evoking a target biological effect in the organism. This allows modification of the effect or the potency of a bioactive compound (typically a drug) by changing its chemical structure [1]. Medicinal chemists use the techniques of chemical synthesis to insert new chemical groups into the biomedical compound and test the modifications for their biological effects. This method was refined to build mathematical relationships

Corresponding Author

Shankar M Email:-shankarmanichellappa@gmail.com between the chemical structure and the biological activity, known as quantitative structure - activity relationships (QSAR).A quantitative structural activity relationship is otherwise called as structure affinity relationship (SAFIR) [2].

Computer-aided drug design (CADD)

In the last few years, the paradigms of drug research have changed significantly. New technologies like Computer based drug design (CADD), Cheminformatics and high-throughput screening increase our chances to find new lead structures, with less effort than by dedicated synthesis and conventional screening. Computational methods can be used to predict or simulate how a particular compound interacts with a given protein target. They can be used to assist in building hypotheses about desirable chemical properties when designing the drug and moreover, they can be used to refine and modify drug



candidates. You all are most welcome to share your experience/expertise as well as research problems regarding CADD and medicinal chemistry at this platform [3]. Computer-aided drug design methods are effective tools for drug discoveries. It is only small number of compounds that are required to be synthesized in practice, if the computer-aided drug design methods are used to predict the activities of the compounds. The methods are based on the interaction theory between drugs and their target proteins. Steric, electrostatic and hydrophobic complementarities are important in the interaction between the drug and the target protein. When three-dimensional structures of target proteins are known, the method is called as a structure-based drug design, while in case that the structures are unknown, a ligand-based drug design approach is employed for the computer-aided rational drug design. In the computer-aided structure-based drug design, it is important to build up the three-dimensional structure of drug-protein complex and to calculate a binding affinity of the drug to the protein [4, 5].

Importance of CADD

Computer-aided drug design (CADD) also called computer-assisted molecular design (CAMD), represents more recent applications of computers as tools in the drug design process [6-8]. In considering this topic, it is important to emphasize that computers cannot substitute for a clear understanding of the system being studied. That is, a computer is only an additional tool to gain better insight into the chemistry and biology of the problem at hand. In most current applications of CADD, attempts are made to find a ligand (the putative drug) that will interact favorably with a receptor that represents the target site. Binding of ligand to the receptor may include hydrophobic, electrostatic, and hydrogen-bonding interactions. In addition, solvation energies of the ligand and receptor site also are important because partial to complete desolvation must occur prior to binding. The binding of ligand with receptors was shown in Figure 1.

METHODOLOGY

DRUG & DRUG DESIGN

A chemical substance that affects the processes of the • mind or body.

Any chemical compound used in the diagnosis, treatment or prevention of disease or other abnormal conditions.

A substance used recreationally for its effects on the central nervous system, such as a narcotic.

A small molecule which interacts with target.

Drug design

Design is more rational and targeted and discovery. But design and discovery share a lot of information regarding pharmaceutical aids [9, 10].

Types of Drug Design

Ligand based drug design, Structure based drug design.

LIGAND BASED DRUG DESIGN

These other molecules may be used to derive a pharmacophore model that defines the minimum necessary structural characteristics a molecule must possess in order to bind to the target.

Ligand based drug design (or indirect drug design) • relies on knowledge of other molecules that bind to the biological target of interet.

In other words, a model of the biological target may be • built based on the knowledge of what binds to it, and this model in turn may be used to design new molecular entities that interact with the target [11].

Alternatively а quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) in which a correlation between calculated properties of molecules and their experimentally determined biological activity may be derived. The QSAR relationships in turn may be used to predict the activity of new analogs.



Fig 1. Ligand binding with receptor





Fig 3. Mechanism of ligand based drug design



SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

SAR believes that the biological activity of a compound is a result of its chemical structure. Within the SAR approach, the descriptor variable is not physically measured. Computed, therefore, they are easy and cheap to generate even for large molecular sets. In computational structure-based drug design, the scoring functions are the cornerstones to the success of design, discovery. Many approaches have been explored to improve their reliability

and accuracy, leading to three families of scoring functions: force-field-based, knowledge-based, and empirical. SAR is a way of finding a simple equation that can be used to calculate some property from the molecular structure of a compound. SAR attempt to correlate structural molecular features (descriptors) with physicochemical properties such as biological activities for a set of compounds, by means of statistical methods, as a result, a simple mathematical relationship is established.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hansch C, Leo A and Hoekman D. (1995). Exploring QSAR Hydrophobic, Electronic, and Steric Constants. American Chemical Society, Drug Research or the Luck of the Draw, *J. Chem. Ed*, 51, 360-365.
- 2. DesJarlais RL, Sheridan RP, Seibel GL. Dixon JS, Kuntz ID and Venkataraghavan R. (1988). Using Shape Complementarity as an Initial Screen in Designing Ligands for a Receptor Binding Site of Known Three-Dimensional Structure, *J. Med. Chem*, 31, 722-729.
- 3. Hansch C. (1969). A Quantitative Approach to Biochemical Structure-Activity Relationships. Acct. Chem. Res, 1969, 2: 232-239.



- 4. Tollenaere JP. (1996). The role of structure-based ligand design and molecular modelling in drug discovery. *Pharm World Sci*, 18 (2), 56–62.
- 5. Wang R, Gao Y, Lai L. (2000). LigBuilder: A Multi-Purpose Program for Structure-Based Drug Design. *Journal of Molecular Modeling*, 6(7), 498–516.
- 6. Hatheway G, Hansch C, Kim KH, Milstein SR, Schmidt CL, Smith RN, and Quinn FR. (1978). Antitumor 1-(X-Aryl)-3,3dialkyltriazenes. 1. Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships vs L1210 Leukemia in Mice, *J. Med. Chem*, 21, 563-574.
- 7. Schneider G, Fechner U. (2005). Computer-based de novo design of drug-like molecules. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, 4(8), 649–663.
- 8. Verlinde CL, Hol WG. (1994). Structure-based drug design: progress, results and challenges. Structure, 2(7), 577–587.
- 9. Bohm HJ. (1994). The development of a simple empirical scoring function to estimate the binding constant for a proteinligand complex of known three-dimensional structure. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* 8 (3), 243–56.
- 10. Gohlke H, Hendlich M, Klebe G. (2000). Knowledge-based scoring function to predict protein-ligand interactions. J. Mol. Biol., 295 (2): 337–56.
- 11. Smith J, Stein V. (2009). SPORCalc: A development of a database analysis that provides putative metabolic enzyme reactions for ligand-based drug design. *Computational Biology and Chemistry*, 33 (2), 149–159.
- 12. Rajamani R, Good AC. (2007). Ranking poses in structure-based lead discovery and optimization: current trends in scoring function development. *CurrOpin Drug DiscovDevel*, 10(3), 308–15.
- 13. Oda A, Tsuchida K, Takakura T, Yamaotsu N, Hirono S. (2006). Comparison of consensus scoring strategies for evaluating computational models of protein-ligand complexes. *J ChemInf Model*, 46(1): 380–91.