



ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTIVENESS IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF SOFT TISSUE RAMP LESIONS IN INDIVIDUALS WITH ANTERIOR CRUCIATE RUPTURE USING MRI

Dr.M.Vignesh^{1*} & Dr.Revanth V²

¹Assistant Professor, Sri Lakshminarayana Institute of Medical Sciences, Puducherry, India.

²Assistant Professor, Sri Lakshminarayana Institute of Medical Sciences, Puducherry, India.

ABSTRACT

ACL (medial collateral ligament) injuries can result from meniscus tears, which are a major clinical concern. Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is quite accurate in detecting ramp lesions, the diagnosis of these lesions differs greatly. The purpose of this research is to evaluate how reliable MRI is at identifying ramp lesions in ACL rupture. This is a review as well as an analysis. According to PRISMA DTA guidelines, a literature search of PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library was conducted in accordance with the amended PRISMA DTA statements. The index test was MRI, and the reference standard was arthroscopy, for the aim of performing diagnostic analysis for ramp lesions. The diagnostic performance was evaluated using bivariate and hierarchical receiver operating characteristic models. To discover possible sources of heterogeneity, meta-regression analysis was utilised. A total of 200 people with ACL injuries and reconstructions were included in the review and meta-analysis, which included 9 studies from 5 journals. In the hierarchy of receiver operating characteristics, the summary sensitivity, specificity, and area under the receiver operating characteristic for ramp lesion were calculated. An arthroscopic assessment should be performed if a ramp lesion is suspected despite the fact that an MRI shows no indication of it. MRIs with adequate knee positioning and high-resolution MRIs are required to identify ramp lesions.

Key words:- Meniscocapsular joint, anterior ligaments, magnetic resonance, and meta-analysis are all terms used to describe ramp abnormalities.

Access this article online

Home page:

<http://www.mcmed.us/journal/ajomr>

Quick Response code



Received:25.09.2020

Revised:12.10.2020

Accepted:18.11.2020

INTRODUCTION

The peripheral meniscocapsular attachments of the medial meniscus's posterior horn are frequently torn, detached, or disrupted in meniscal ramp lesions. [3-20], such as a superior meniscotibial ligament tear³ or a superior meniscocapsular ligament tear[10,11].

They're most typically connected with knee traumatic injuries, such as ACL tears. In most cases with ramp lesion, ACL injuries occur in 16 to 24 percent of the time. [11].During ACL reconstruction, ramp lesions must be diagnosed and corrected. Although surgical treatment of the ramp lesion may not be essential (due to the ramp lesion's location in the ominous red-ominous-ominous-ominous), reconstructive surgery The ramp lesion may fall short if it is not fixed. The external rotation and anterior translation of the knee are hampered as a result

Corresponding Author

Dr.M.Vignesh

of ramp lesions, MMPH rips extend, and both meniscus and cartilage deteriorate more quickly. Because ramp lesions are easy to overlook Preoperative suspicion is crucial for knee surgeons to examine and treat patients in the "conventional" anterior or anterolateral arthroscopic method. [31]

As a preoperative diagnostic technique, Ramp lesions can be diagnosed using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Meniscal ramp lesions were best identified using MRI images sagittal to the T2-weighted fat-suppressed direction (PD-FS) and fat-suppressed direction (PD-FS) (T2-FS). [8]

Despite ramp lesions becoming a clinically serious concern, MRI is not particularly reliable in identifying them. Ramp lesions are related with ACL injuries and are particularly sensitive to MRI. 18 Because MRI sensitivity has been observed to vary, more study is required to see if the MRI was successful can accurately diagnose ramp lesions, and Evidence of a high level should be used offered through quantification analyses of previous studies. Another reason for pooling results is that published studies have used a variety of methods, such as different MRI magnet strengths, interpreters, and patient positions (neutral, in which a sponge is placed during an MRI evaluation of the popliteal region for 30° of knee flexion, versus When a patient is fully or almost fully extended, he or she is not inspected at all during MRI examination).

As a result, the goal The goal of this comprehensive study and meta-analysis was to see if MRI can reliably detect ramp ailment in individuals with the help of an ACL injury.

Methods

In terms of data resources and morpho analyses, the PRISMA-DTA declaration (Preferred Preferred Reporting Studies and Meta of Diagnostic 's Prophecy) was used (appendix in the electronic form of the article)24

Research Methodologies

ACL injury in patients, (2) MRI analysing as index tests (diagnostic achievement studies using MRI as the investigation test), (3) surgical reassurance of ramp tumours using surgery (orthopaedic or open surgery) research results as a standard reference, and (4) english Version articles in participant journal articles were all taken into consideration.

(1) paper reports or case studies; (2) Letters, editorials, and conference abstracts are all examples of guidelines, consensus statements, letters, and editorials; (3) research not addressing the topic at hand; (4) animal or cadaveric studies; and (5) papers due to a lack of data to construct 2*2 tables all removed from the study.

Using synthesised data to evaluate diagnostic performance to summarise the patient demographic

information and extract variables, standard descriptive statistics were utilised. Unless otherwise stated, Continuous variables were expressed as means with 95% confidence intervals, whereas categorical data were given as frequencies or percentages (CIs).

The diagnostic performance data (specificity and sensitivity) from several investigations were aggregated and examined in a random bivariate effect model. Using observational sensitivity & specificity ratios (PSRRs) and 95 percent confidence intervals, these data were shown on HSROC curves with 95 percent confidence and prediction zones. Combining observational sensitivity/specificity ratios with 95 percent confidence intervals yielded the HSROC curves.

The P *.05 test was used to detect heterogeneity using the Cochran Q and I2 tests (0 percent -40 percent indicated no heterogeneity, 30%-60% medium heterogeneity, 50%-90% significant heterogeneity, and 75%-100% considerable heterogeneity are the three levels of heterogeneity). Because sensitivity and specificity have inverse relationships, a threshold value (cutoff) impacts them. To discover threshold effects, the sensitivity and false-positive rate were correlated using Spearman's correlation coefficient. The impact of a threshold was indicated by a coefficient greater than or equal to 0.6. Comparing Deeks funnel plots for individual research PRISMA-DTA does not suggest it. since it is ineffective in assessing the impact of publication bias.

An author with five years of expertise doing systematic reviews and meta-analyses performed all statistical analyses. To analyse the data, we utilised Stata (StataCorp, version 10.0) & R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, version 3.4.1). In Stata is a statistical analysis programme (StataCorp LP, version 10.0), we utilised the "midas" and "metandi" modules, as well as the "mada" R software package. When the P value was.05 or higher, statistical significance was indicated.

RESULTS

Looking for Literature

When PubMed and EMBASE are two databases that may be used to do research, 129 publications were discovered. Additional papers were not found in the database of the Cochrane Library. We eliminated 40 duplicates from our review of 78. We removed 60 papers based on the following criteria: case reports, letters, editorials, and conference abstracts (n = 24); review articles, guidelines, and consensus statements (n = 23); research not related to our field of interest (n = 22); and one cadaver study (n = 1). Because they lack sufficient data, eight studies cannot be evaluated for 2*2 tables. The meta-analysis included 883 patients from eight original research articles. Hatayama et al13, for example, examined 9 diagnostic performance studies with various magnet strengths for identifying ramp lesions from 8

original investigations in two distinct cohorts (patients who had 1.5-T and 3.0-T MRI, respectively). This table highlights the study's and patients' features. Arthroscopic findings were employed as the study techniques and the standard of reference in all research. A summary of the MRI data and their interpretation may be seen in Table 2. To diagnose ramp lesions, all of the research used MRI pictures of the sagittal T2-FS or sagittal PD-FS, regardless of whether axial or coronal planes were used. Thin slices of 5 mm thickness (*5) were used in the experiments, with layers ranging from 2 to 4 mm thick. The case-control research design was shown to have a high risk of bias in the selection of patients domain in two studies^{1,30}. All studies demonstrated a minimal bias risk in the areas of the index test and the reference standard. In two studies^{19,30}, The reference standard and mean interval data for MRI were compared absent, raising concerns regarding bias risk. Most studies demonstrated modest concerns for applicability when it comes to patient selection, index testing, and benchmarks.

The meta-analysis' findings

Table 3 demonstrates how the meta-regression findings studies are comparable. In terms of sensitivity, the significant sources of heterogeneity were the MRI interpreter ($P = .04$) and patient position ($P = .04$). Studies that employed 3.0-T MRI was used, and MRI interpreters were musculoskeletal radiologists. had greater sensitivity values than those that used 1.5-T MRI but did not include musculoskeletal radiologists. Studies using 3.0-T MRI found greater specificity values than those using 1.5-T MRI ($P = .03$), indicating that specificity heterogeneity is a substantial source of heterogeneity.

Discussion

The current meta-analysis found that MRI's overall sensitivity was moderate (71%) and specificity was outstanding (94 percent). The use of 3.0-T MRI in conjunction with the engagement of a musculoskeletal radiologist for MRI interpretation in the neutral position (approximately 30° of flexion) resulted in a pooled sensitivity of 84 percent.

Imaging methods like as MRI are commonly used to diagnose meniscal tears. According to three meta-analyses, MRI has an 89 percent -93.34 percent sensitivity and an 81.1 percent -88.4 percent specificity for diagnosing a medial meniscus injury. In these meta-analyses, the ramp lesion was not mentioned.

The current meta-analysis has several clinical implications. For example, MRI detected roughly 20% to 30% of ramp lesions with intermediate sensitivity; this is due to the fact that MRI could not detect every ramp lesion. According to a recent study of knee surgeons, only approximately 14% of them frequently evaluated for the existence of a ramp lesion during an ACL repair by

inspecting the posteromedial meniscocapsular junction. As a result, even if there is no ramp lesion on MRI, arthroscopy via an intermediate or posteromedial route, according to the surgeon, may necessitate routine screening for ramp lesions. The role of musculoskeletal radiologists in interpreting MRI data is examined in the second meta-regression study. According to the findings, patient knee position and magnet strength both had a role in the improved sensitivity of up to 84 percent. High-resolution MRI with a 3.0 T magnet strength was very sensitive and selective for identifying meniscal tears. This is due to a greater signal-to-noise ratio compared to low-resolution MRI using a 1.5 T magnet. The interpreters were able to clearly detect the various edges of the meniscus and diagnose the meniscal tear more reliably by enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio. Furthermore, compared to low-resolution MRI, high-resolution MRI has superior resolution, thinner slices, and is quicker.²² Because these postures reduce space between MMPH and the capsule, ramp lesions are barely apparent when a patient is in a fully extended knee position or close to a fully extended knee position. When the knee flexes, the meniscus and capsula broaden, in contrast to when the knee is stretched. High-tesla MRI in the correct position of the knee (neutral knee position) may be necessary to increase MRI sensitivity in identifying ramp lesion in individuals with an anterior cruciate ligament rupture.

Previous research has shown that MRI results may be used to diagnose ramp lesions. A thin fluid signal is frequently seen on MRI in individuals with ramp lesions, sandwiched between the posteromedial capsule and the posterior horn of the medial meniscus.¹³ In their retrospective examination of ramp lesions, Yeo et al³⁶ summed six MRI results to establish the most relevant MRI finding (sensitivity, 86%) and specificity, 79%). Patients with ACL tears may be better detected with ramp lesions if the usual knee MRI methodology and parameters are altered, according to reports.

The current study has certain limitations. This is due in part to the small number of research that was looked at. Diagnostic accuracy was not tested in some studies^{2,4,6,8,15,27-29}, hence the specificity and sensitivity of diagnosis were not estimated. While numerous relevant conclusions about the diagnostic performance of MRI and associated aspects may be formed, the overview gives a helpful overview by including easy-to-access research (published in English and accessible on PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane) and a broad range of search phrases. It's possible that unreported research have shown negative or ambiguous results. Despite the lack of Deeks funnel plots, as advised by PRISMA-DTA, there was a minimal chance of publication bias (overall, $P = .38$), implying that this issue had no impact on our findings. Third, the results of open surgery do not match. No study utilised open surgical

results as the baseline standard since ACL restoration procedures are primarily done through arthroscopy. As a result of comparing ramp lesions identified by arthroscopy with open surgery, the results of a meta-analysis will very certainly contain small differences. (4) The finding is hampered by the inclusion of research using different techniques. To circumvent this constraint, a meta-regression analysis was performed. Despite the fact that many studies did not identify receiver bandwidth, matrix, or field of view, none of these technical aspects were evaluated. Fourth, studies 16-19,23 that provided inadequate data on MRI location and magnet strength did not qualify for inclusion in the meta-regression analysis' individual comparison part. Two studies (16,19; 203 patients; 23.0%) missed reporting knee position, while two studies (19,23; 234 patients; 26.5%) missed reporting magnet strength. If these studies had been included, the meta-regression analysis' findings on knee position and magnet strength may have been different. Furthermore, because to limited data supplied by the included studies, no possible variables for time from injury to MRI or time from MRI to arthroscopy could be assessed in the current meta-analysis. When a ramp lesion heals at the periphery of the meniscus, there may be a discrepancy between MRI and arthroscopy results, leading the disease to be MRI-positive (present

ramp lesion on MRI scan) but arthroscopy-negative (no ramp lesion detected on arthroscopy). On MRI pictures, however, healed ramp lesions do not look as they should. Future study should look at the relationship between radiologic and arthroscopy results, according to our findings. Seventh, the diagnostic accuracy of the combined clinical risk variables could not be determined. The risk variables for ramp lesion were discovered in a recent study³² (male sex, patient age >30 years, postoperative side-to-side laxity >6 mm, and meniscal tears concurrent with the ramp lesion). Despite this, there have been no investigations on the diagnostic usefulness of MRI paired with clinical symptoms for detecting a ramp lesion. This would be a beneficial way for designing diagnostic algorithms for ramp lesion by combining the clinicoradiological method with a high-resolution MRI with an adequate knee position.

Conclusions: In individuals with an ACL injury, MRI was used to identify RAMPLE lesion with intermediate specificity and sensitivity. On arthroscopy, regular evaluation is suggested to detect ramp lesions, independent of a preoperative MRI's clinical suspicion. In the clinicoradiological environment, MRI imaging with a high-resolution knee posture may be required to identify ramp lesions.

REFERENCES:

- Arner, JW, Herbst, E, Burnham, JM. (2017). MRI can accurately detect meniscal ramp lesions of the knee. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 25(12), 3955-3960.
- Bollen, SR. (2010). Posteromedial meniscocapsular injury associated with rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament: a previously unrecognised association. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 92(2), 222-223.
- Chahla, J, Dean, CS, Moatshe, G. (2016). Meniscal ramp lesions: anatomy, incidence, diagnosis, and treatment. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 4(7), 2325967116657815.
- Chan, KK, Resnick, D, Goodwin, D, Seeger, LL. (1999). Posteromedial tibial plateau injury including avulsion fracture of the semimembranous tendon insertion site: ancillary sign of anterior cruciate ligament tear at MR imaging. *Radiology.* 211(3),754-758.
- Crawford, R, Walley, G, Bridgman, S, Maffulli, N. (2007). Magnetic resonance imaging versus arthroscopy in the diagnosis of knee pathology, concentrating on meniscal lesions and ACL tears: a systematic review. *Br Med Bull.* 84, 5-23.
- De Smet, AA, Nathan, DH, Graf, BK, Haaland, BA, Fine, JP. (2008). Clinical and MRI findings associated with false-positive knee MR diagnoses of medial meniscal tears. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 191(1), 93-99.
- Deeks, JJ, Macaskill, P, Irwig, L. (2005). The performance of tests of publication bias and other sample size effects in systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy was assessed. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 58(9), 882-893.
- DePhillipo, NN, Cinque, ME, Chahla, J, Geeslin, AG, Engebretsen, L, LaPrade, RF. (2017). Incidence and detection of meniscal ramp lesions on magnetic resonance imaging in patients with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.* 45(10), 2233-2237.
- DePhillipo, NN, Engebretsen, L, LaPrade, RF. (2019). Current trends among US surgeons in the identification, treatment, and time of repair for medial meniscal ramp lesions at the time of ACL surgery. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 7(2), 2325967119827267.
- DePhillipo, NN, Moatshe, G, Brady, A. (2018). Effect of meniscocapsular and meniscotibial lesions in ACL-deficient and ACL-reconstructed knees: a biomechanical study. *Am J Sports Med.* 46(10), 2422-2431.

11. DePhillipo, NN, Moatshe, G, Chahla, J. (2019). Quantitative and qualitative assessment of the posterior medial meniscus anatomy: defining meniscal ramp lesions. *Am J Sports Med.* 47(2), 372-378.
12. Deville, WL, Buntinx, F, Bouter, LM. (2002). Conducting systematic reviews of diagnostic studies: didactic guidelines. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2, 9.
13. Hatayama K, Terauchi M, Saito K, Aoki J, Nonaka S, Higuchi H. (2018). Magnetic resonance imaging diagnosis of medial meniscal ramp lesions in patients with anterior cruciate ligament injuries. *Arthroscopy.* 34(5), 1631-1637.
14. Higgins, J, Green, S. (2008). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*, Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. London, UK: The Cochrane Collaboration.
15. Hirtler, L, Unger, J, Weninger, P. (2015). Acute and chronic menisco-capsular separation in the young athlete: diagnosis, treatment and results in thirty seven consecutive patients. *Int Orthop.* 39(5), 967-974.
16. Kaplan PA, Gehl RH, Dussault RG, Anderson MW, Diduch DR. (1999). Bone contusions of the posterior lip of the medial tibial plateau (contrecoup injury) and associated internal derangements of the knee at MR imaging. *Radiology.* 211(3), 747-753.

Cite this article:

M.Vignesh1 & Revanth V. Analysis Of The Effectiveness In The Diagnosis Of Soft Tissue Ramp Lesions In Individuals With Anterior Cruciate Rupture Using MRI. *American Journal of Oral Medicine and Radiology*, 7(2), 2020, 72-76.



Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International