



STUDY OF ANTIFUNGAL DRUGS SUSCEPTIBILITY OF CANDIDA ISOLATES

Lawhale MA¹, Goyal M², Sinha PK³, Dhone PG^{*4}, Shrikhande S⁵, Murthy R⁶, Rathod V⁷

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, GMC, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh, India.

³Associate Professor, GMC, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh, India.

^{*4}Professor and Head, GMC, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh, India.

⁵Professor and Head, GMC, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.


⁶Dean, GMC, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh, India.

⁷Associate Professor, King Edward Memorial Hospital and Seth Gordhandas Sunderdas Medical College, Mumbai Maharashtra, India.

ABSTRACT

Fungal infections are a growing medical problem requiring prompt diagnosis with species identification and early adapted antifungal therapy. Among the increasing fungal infections, candida species are the most common in the recent few decades. Fluconazole, a triazole derivative has become the drug of choice for the treatment of candidiasis in immunocompromised patients. Antifungal susceptibility of candida isolates to fluconazole and voriconazole was performed by disc diffusion method. As many as 14.28% candida isolates showed resistance to fluconazole. Some of the non-albicans candida species like *C. glabrata* (40%) showed more resistance to fluconazole. Candida isolates showed 2.39% resistance to voriconazole.

Keywords :- Candida, Antifungal therapy, Fluconazole, Voriconazole.

Access this article online		
Home page: http://www.mcmed.us/journal/abs	Quick Response code 	
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21276/abs.2020.7.2.5		
Received:25.06.20	Revised:12.07.20	Accepted:15.07.20

INTRODUCTION

Fungal infections are a growing medical problem requiring prompt diagnosis with species identification and early adapted antifungal therapy.[1-4] Among the increasing fungal infections, candida species are the most common in the recent few decades.[5-9] Candida species colonize the mucosal surfaces of all humans soon after birth and the risk of endogenous infection is ever-present.[10,11] But the organism become pathogenic only when the normal bacterial flora is disturbed by antibiotics or other factors that produce fungal overgrowth.[12]

The strains of *Candida albicans* can be differentiated at the phenotypic level by physiological tests and by detection of phenotypically expressed macromolecular structures. For this various combined tests have been suggested. Of these combined methods,

resistotyping and morphotyping are the most suitable methods which are easily available.[13]

Resistotyping was first developed for strain delineation, pathogenesis and epidemiological studies,[14] reported by McCreight and Warnock with modifications. This method is convenient and easy for biotyping of large number of *C. albicans* isolates.[15] Morphotyping has also been studied as an epidemiological tool. This is a method of evaluating fringe and surface characteristics of streak colonies, shown to have good discriminatory capacity. This method is able to relate strains of proven virulence with distinct morphotypes.[16,17]

Fluconazole, a triazole derivative has become the drug of choice for the treatment of candidiasis in immunocompromised patients. The rise in incidence of

resistance to antifungal drugs is more alarming. Although amphotericin B and flucytosine continue to be effective, the loss of susceptibility to azoles, is a matter of great concern.[13]

With introduction of azoles, the cause of candida infection shifted from *Candida albicans* to non *albicans* species, and developed resistance to fluconazole. *Candida glabrata* and *Candida krusei* have been observed to be 4 to 32 fold less susceptible than *C. albicans* to fluconazole.[18] Hence identification of candida isolates upto species level from various clinical specimens and their antifungal susceptibility testing is necessary.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in the Department of Microbiology. A total of 210 candida isolates of suspected cases of candidiasis from various clinical specimens were included in the study.

Antifungal susceptibility testing

Antifungal susceptibility testing was performed by antifungal disc diffusion susceptibility testing of Yeasts as per the CLSI guidelines.[19,20] Standard strains of candida species - *Candida albicans* - ATCC 90029, *Candida krusei* - ATCC 6258, *Candida parapsilosis* - ATCC 22019. Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 2% glucose and 0.5 µg/ml methylene blue dye medium was used. The pH of the medium kept

in between 7.2 to 7.4 Discs Used are Fluconazole (Himedia) 25µg and Voriconazole (Himedia) 1µg. Colonies were suspended in sterile distilled water. Inoculum is standardized to 0.5 McFarland using a densitometer.

Sterile swab soaked in inoculum and streaked all over the plate through an angle of 60°C. Finally, swab was passed over the edge of the agar surface is to be made on the culture plates. Discs were applied. Plates incubated at 35°C for 24 hours.

Zones of inhibition were measured, interpreted and recorded as-

Susceptible (S), zone diameters of

- ≥19 mm (fluconazole)
- ≥17 mm (voriconazole)

Resistant (R) - ≥14 mm (fluconazole)

- ≥13 mm (voriconazole).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Out of 210 *Candida* strains, maximum isolates i.e. 125 (59.52%) were of *C. albicans*. Among the non *albicans* candida, most of the isolates were of *C. tropicalis* 50 (23.84%) followed by *C. glabrata* 13 (6.19%). Other non *albicans* species like *C. krusei* 8 (3.80%), *C. parapsilosis* 6 (2.85%), *C. guilliermondii* 5 (2.38%), *C. kefyr* 3 (1.42%) were also isolated from clinical Specimens (Table 1).

Table 1. Distribution of candida species (n=210)

Candida species	No. of isolates (%)
<i>C. albicans</i>	125 (59.52)
<i>C. tropicalis</i>	50 (23.84)
<i>C. glabrata</i>	13 (06.19)
<i>C. krusei</i>	08 (03.80)
<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	06 (02.85)
<i>C. guilliermondii</i>	05 (02.38)
<i>C. kefyr</i>	03 (01.42)
Total	210 (100.0)

Table 2. Age wise distribution of candida species (n=210)

Age in years	<i>Candida albicans</i>	<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	<i>Candida glabrata</i>	<i>Candida krusei</i>	<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	<i>Candida guilliermondii</i>	<i>Candida kefyr</i>	Total
0 – 28days	10	05	04	02	02	-	-	23 (10.95)
29days-12month	01	-	-	01	-	-	-	02(0.95)
1-10	07	06	01	01	-	-	-	15 (07.14)
11-20	09	06	-	-	-	02	01	18 (08.57)
21-30	24	08	01	01	-	01	-	35 (16.66)
31-40	38	13	03	01	02	-	01	58 (27.61)
41-50	30	06	02	01	01	02	-	42 (20.03)
>50	06	06	02	01	01	-	01	17 (08.09)
Total	125	50	13	08	06	05	03	210

Table 3. Distribution of candida species in different specimen

Specimen	Candida species							TOTAL (%)
	Candida albicans	Candida tropicalis	Candida glabrata	Candida krusei	Candida parapsilosis	Candida guilliermondii	Candida kefyr	
Urine	61	13	06	02	03	-	01	86 (40.95)
Oral swab	27	17	02	-	-	-	01	47 (22.38)
Vaginal swab	22	10	02	03	02	02	01	42 (20.00)
Blood	07	04	02	03	-	02	-	18(8.57)
sputum	04	02	01	-	-	-	-	07(3.35)
Pus	01	03	-	-	01	-	-	05(2.38)
Peritoneal fluid	01	02	-	-	-	01	-	03 (01.42)
Synovial fluid	02	-	-	-	-	-	-	02 (00.95)
TOTAL	125(59.52)	50(23.84)	13(6.19)	08 (3.80)	06(2.85)	05(2.38)	03 (1.42)	210 (100)

Table 4. Morphotypes of Candida albicans (n=125)

Morphotyping Code	C. albicans isolates (%)
000 0	51 (40.8)
222 1	10 (08.00)
732 5	09 (07.20)
323 4	08 (06.40)
000 6	07 (05.60)
722 0	07 (05.60)
322 0	05 (04.00)
732 5	05 (04.00)
224 0	04 (03.20)
734 0	04 (03.20)
324 4	03 (02.40)
522 5	03 (02.40)
523 8	03 (02.40)
551 0	03 (2.40)
524 2	02 (01.60)
721 0	01 (0.80)
TOTAL	125

Table 5. Resistotypes of Candida albicans (n=125)

Resistotypes	C. albicans isolates (%)
-B - - F-	57 (46)
-B - - - -	25 (20)
A B - - - -	14 (11)
- - - - F-	12 (10)
A - - - F -	09 (07)
A - C - - -	05 (04)
A - C - F - G	03 (02)
TOTAL	125 (100)

Table 6. Antifungal susceptibility of candida isolates

Candida Species	No. of Isolates Resistant to	
	Fluconazole (%)	Voriconazole (%)
<i>C. albicans</i> (125)	15 (12.0)	03 (2.4)

<i>C. tropicalis</i> (50)	05 (10.0)	00
<i>C. glabrata</i> (13)	02 (40.0)	01 (20.0)
<i>C. krusei</i> (08)	08 (100.0)	01 (12.5)
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> (06)	00	00
<i>C. guilliermondii</i> (05)	00	00
<i>C. kefyr</i> (03)	00	00
Total (210)	30 (14.28)	05 (2.39)

Table 2 shows that in all the age groups, *C. albicans* was the most common species contributing to 125 (59.52%) followed by *C. tropicalis* 50 (23.84%) and *C. glabrata* 13 (6.19%). Out of total 13 (6.19%) isolates of *C. glabrata*, maximum isolates were seen in the age group of neonates.

Of the 86 (40.95%) urinary isolates, 61 (70.93%) were of *C. albicans* followed by 13 (15.11%) were *C. tropicalis* and 6 (6.97%) were *C. glabrata*. Out of 47 (22.38%) isolates of oral swabs, 27 (57.44%) were of *C. albicans* followed by 17 (36.17%) were of *C. tropicalis*. From vaginal swabs, 42 (20.00%) candida strains were isolated, of these 22 (52.38%) were *C. albicans*, 10 (23.80%) were *C. tropicalis* and 3 (7.14%) were *C. krusei*. Blood specimens showed, 7 (38.88%) *C. albicans*, 4 (22.22%) *C. tropicalis* and 3 (16.66%) *C. krusei*.

Table 4 shows that '000 0' was the most common morphotype of *C. albicans* contributing to 51 (40.8%) isolates, followed by '222 1', '732 5' in 10 (8.00%) and 09 (07.20%) isolates respectively. '721 0' was the least common morphotype seen. Discontinuous fringes like 222 1, 224 0, 322 0, 323 4, 324 4 were isolated from blood, sterile body fluids and other invasive infection. Sixteen different morphotypes were identified. Most common morphotype was '000 0' contributing to 51 (40.8%), followed by '222 1' (8.00%) and '732 5' (07.20%). In our study special morphological markers, like discontinuous fringes, have been observed from blood, other sterile body fluids.

Resistotyping of *C. albicans*

Among the 125 isolates of *C. albicans*, - B - - F - was the most common resistotype observed in 57(46%) isolates, followed by '- B - - -' resistotype in 25 (20%) and 'A B - - -' resistotype in 14 (11%) isolates. In this study out of 125 isolates of *C. albicans*, 77% isolates were resistant to boric acid and 65% were to malachite green, 24% to sodium selenite, 6% to cetrimide, 2% to copper sulphate. All the isolates were sensitive to sodium periodate.

Antifungal susceptibility of candida isolates

Table 6 shows that out of total 210 candida isolates, 30 (14.28%) isolates were resistant to fluconazole. Out of 125 isolates of *C. albicans*, 15(12%) isolates showed resistance to fluconazole. Out of 50 isolates of *C. tropicalis* and 13 isolates of *C. glabrata*, 5(10%) of *C. tropicalis* and 02(40%) of *C. glabrata* were

resistance to fluconazole respectively. 100% resistance to fluconazole was observed in *C. krusei*. *C. guilliermondii*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. kefyr* showed 100% sensitivity to fluconazole. Out of total 210 candida isolates, 5 (2.39%) isolates were resistant to voriconazole. Out of 125 *C. albicans*, 03(2.4%) showed resistance to voriconazole. Out of 8 isolates of *C. krusei* and 13 isolates of *C. glabrata* only one isolate of each showed resistance to voriconazole. *C. tropicalis*, *C. guilliermondii* and *C. kefyr* showed 100% sensitivity to voriconazole.

Antifungal susceptibility testing was performed by antifungal disc diffusion susceptibility testing of yeasts as per the CLSI guidelines.^{93,94} In our study out of total 210 isolates, (Table 14) 14.28% isolates were resistant to fluconazole. 12% of *C. albicans*, 10% of *C. tropicalis* were resistant to fluconazole. *C. glabrata* showed 40% resistance to fluconazole. 100% resistance was observed in *C. krusei*. All the isolates of *C. krusei* were resistant to fluconazole which is in agreement with the general observation that this species has innate resistance to the azoles group of antifungals.

CONCLUSION

Total 210 candida strains were isolated from different clinical specimens of suspected cases of candidiasis. There were 57.64% males and 42.38% females. Male preponderance was seen. Morphotyping suggested by Hunter et al²¹ was applied to *C. albicans* isolates. Sixteen different morphotypes of *C. albicans* were identified. '000 0' was the common morphotype contributing to 40.8%. Resistotyping of *C. albicans* was done according to Medcraft's modification of McCreight and Warnock's method.²⁴ Seven different resistotypes were reported. - B - - F - was the commonest resistotypes, followed by - B - - - resistotype. Antifungal susceptibility of candida isolates to fluconazole and voriconazole was performed by disc diffusion method. As many as 14.28% candida isolates showed resistance to fluconazole. Some of the non-*albicans* candida species like *C. glabrata* (40%) showed more resistance to fluconazole. Candida isolates showed 2.39% resistance to voriconazole. For characterization of *C. albicans*, resistotyping and morphotyping methods can be used. These methods are simple and easy to perform in the laboratory.

Resistance to commonly used antifungal agents is a cause of concern. Our study noted high fluconazole resistance in candida isolates. Hence, it is now a high time

that peripheral microbiology laboratories should perform antifungal susceptibility testing for candida isolates routinely. It is a simple disc diffusion method and can be performed in resource constrained laboratories also. This

will keep vigilance on drug resistance in candida, a commonest fungal cause of human infection. This will also help in control of the resistance in a long way.

REFERENCES

1. Fricker-Hidalgo H, Orensa S, Lebeau B, et al. Evaluation of Candida ID, a New Chromogenic Medium for Fungal Isolation and Preliminary Identification of Some Yeast Species. *J Clin Microbiol* 2001;39(4):1647-1649.
2. Buchaille L, Freydiere AM, Guinet R, and Gille Y. Evaluation of six commercial systems for identification of medically important yeasts. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998;17:479-488.
3. Edwards JE, et al. International conference for the development of a consensus on the management and prevention of severe candidal infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997;25:43-59.
4. Freydiere AM, Guinet R. Rapid methods for identification of the most frequent clinical yeasts. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 1997;14:82-87.
5. Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Doern GV, Sader HS, Messer SA, Houston et al. Blood stream infection due to Candida species SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program in North America and Latin America, 1997-1998. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000;44:747-51.
6. Fisher MA, Shen SH, Haddad J and Tarry WF. Comparison of in vivo activity of fluconazole with that of Amphotericin B against *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida glabrata* and *Candida krusei*. *Antimicrob Agents and Chemother* 1989;33:1443-46.
7. Haorn RB, Wong TE, Kiehn and Armstrong D. Fungaemia in a cancer hospital: Changing Frequency, earlier onset, and results of therapy. *Rev Infect Dis* 1985; 7:646-55.
8. Maksymiuk AW, Thongprasert S, Hopfer R, Luma M, et al. Systemic candidiasis in cancer patients. *Am J Med* 1984;77:20-27.
9. Meunier – Carpentier F, Kiehn JE, and Armstrong D. Fungemia in the immunocompromised host, changing patterns, antigenemia, high mortality. *Am J Med* 1981;71:363-70.
10. Usharani A, Bharathi M, Sandhya C. Isolation and Characterisation of Candida species from oropharyngeal secretions of HIV positive individuals. *N Dermatol* 2011;2(3):119-124.
11. Jawetz, Melnick, Adelberg's: Text book of Medical Microbiology. Publisher Mc Graw Hill Medical: 24th edition; Chapter 45:632-43.
12. Marsh PK, Tally FP, Kellum J, Callow A, Garbach SL. Candida infections in surgical patients. *Ann surg* 1983;198:42-47.
13. Chandar J. A textbook of medical mycology. 3rd edition 2009: 26683.
14. Prasobh KK, Udhaya V, Sarayu YL. Resistotyping of *Candida albicans* isolated from sputum samples of patients attending Tb clinics in and around Chidambaram. *Rec Res Sci Tech* 2009;1(4):180-183.
15. Hunter PR, Fraser C. Use of modified resistogram to type *Candida albicans* isolated from cases of vaginitis and from faeces in the same geographical area. *J Clin Pathol* 1987;40:1159-1161.
16. Otero L, Vazquez F, Palacio V, Vazquez S, Carreno F, Mendez FJ. Comparison of seven phenotyping methods for *Candida albicans*. *Eur J Epidemiol* 1995;11(2):221-4.
17. Hunter PR, Fraser CA, Mackenzie DW. Morphotype markers of virulence in human Candidial infections. *J. Med. Microbiol* 1989; 28:8591.
18. Murray MP, Zinchuk R, Larone DH. CHROMagar Candida as the Sole Primary Medium for Isolation of Yeasts and as a Source Medium for the Rapid-Assimilation-of-Trehalose Test. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005;43(3):1210-12.
19. Therese KL, Bhagyalakshmi R, Madhavan HN, Deepa P. Invitro susceptibility testing by agar dilution method to determine the MIC of amphotericin b, fluconazole and ketoconazole against ocular fungal isolates. *Ind J Med Microbiol* 2006;24(4):273-9.
20. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Reference Method for disc diffusion antifungal susceptibility testing of Yeasts; Approved Standard. 2nd Ed. M44-A2 Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA, 2009.

Cite this article:

Lawhale MA, Goyal M, Sinha PK, Dhone PG, Shrikhande S, Murthy R, Rathod V. Study of Antifungal Drugs Susceptibility of Candida Isolates. *Acta Biomedica Scientia*, 2020;7(2):65-70.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21276/abs.2020.7.2.5>



Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International