

Acta Biomedica Scientia

e - ISSN - 2348 - 2168 Print ISSN - 2348 - 215X

www.mcmed.us/journal/abs

Research Article

A STUDY INTO THE PSYCHO-SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE AND SUICIDAL INTENT IN THE PERSONS MAKING SUICIDE ATTEMPT

S Prasanna Latha¹, Raghuram Macharapu², Pramod KR Mallepalli^{3*}, Ravulapati Sateesh Babu⁴, Sakamuri Manjula⁵

¹Post graduate in Department of Psychiatry, Mamata Medical College, Khammam, Telangana, India.

ABSTRACT

Suicide always has a major impact on the survivors. Attempted suicide is one of the main predictor of completed suicide and is more common than completed suicide. The present study has been undertaken with the intention of deeper insight into the mental health of suicide attempters. Aims & Objectives: 1. To evaluate the sociodemographic profile of subjects admitted for suicide attempt. 2. To assess the suicidal intent of the suicide attempt. 3. To evaluate the nature of psychiatric morbidity in patients who have attempted suicide. 4. To study the association of the suicide intent score with the various socio-demographic factors. 5. To study the association between the sociodemographic characteristics, psychiatric diagnosis, and suicidal intent in suicide attempters. Materials and Methods: The study was conducted in the Department of Psychiatry, Mamata General Hospital, Khammam, Telangana from 1st September 2015 to 1st August 2016.Tools used Beck's suicide intent scale, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview Plus (M.I.N.I PLUS). Results: High intent score was found more in Alcohol dependence syndrome and lowest in deliberate self-harm. Study includes a significant proportion of the individuals who attempt suicide have psychiatric morbidity, and deliberate self-harm was present. Conclusion: Suicide attempters are more among the groups of young age, male gender, married, belonging to nuclear family and low socio economic class. Majority of the suicide attempters are suffering with psychiatric illness.

Keywords: Attempted suicide, Suicidal intent, Psychiatric illness.

Access this article online Home page: http://www.mcmed.us/journal/abs DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21276/abs.2017.4.1.6 Received:25.12.16 Revised:12.01.17 Accepted:15.01.17

INTRODUCTION

The word 'suicide' is derived from the Latin word for "self murder". It is a fatal act that represents persons wish to die. One of the earliest scientific classifications was given by Emil Durkheim who

divided suicide in to egoistic, anomic and altruistic types [1]. Kreitman et al introduced the term 'para suicide' to refer a non-fatal act of deliberate self-injury or self-poisoning [2].

Corresponding Author Pramod KR Mallepalli Email: - dr_mpramod@yahoo.co.in

² Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Mamata Medical College, Khammam, Telangana, India.

³ Professor, HOD, Department of Psychiatry, Mamata Medical College, Khammam, Telangana, India.

⁴ Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Mamata Medical College, Khammam, Telangana, India.

⁵Clinical Psychologist, Mamata Medical College, Khammam, Telangana, India.

Suicide attempt rates are found to be 10–40 times higher than rates for completed suicides [3]. Being female, younger, less educated, unmarried and having a mental disorder [4]. The strongest diagnostic risk factors were found to be mood disorders in high-income countries but impulse control disorders in low- and middle-income countries.

Human beings are unique, as are their reasons for suicide. National Crime Records Bureau statistics state that social and economic causes have led most of the males to commit suicide whereas emotional and personal causes have mainly driven females to end their lives [5]. Suicide attempters of almost 90% have mental disorders [6]. Subjects with high levels of psychiatric co morbidity had markedly high risk of serious suicide attempts as observed by Beautrais *et al* [7].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study is a cross-sectional study done among 72 volunteers. The study was conducted in the Department of Psychiatry, Mamata General Hospital, Khammam, Telangana from 1st September 2015 to 1st August 2016. The study was approved by the research ethics committee. Subjects were briefed in detail about the nature and purpose of the study. Confidentiality was assured and informed consent was taken.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Age group of 15-65 years.
- All patients who attempted suicide.
- Both genders were included in the study.
- All patients should be medically cleared to participate in study.
- All patients should have reliable informants for collateral information.
- Patients should be competent to give consent for the study.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Socio demographic risk factors included

- Patients who were not medically cleared to participate in study.
- Patients admitted with alleged history of attempted suicide but died in the hospital prior to the assessment.
- Patients without reliable informants.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data entry was done in MS-EXCEL and after reviewing the data it was exported into SPSS version 20.0. The data was analyzed by SPSS 20.

TOOLS

Socio demographic profile:

Consists of name, age, gender, region, religion, education, occupation, type of family, marital status, socio-economic status.

Beck's suicide intent scale:

For assessing suicide intent in suicide attempters. It consists of 15 items each scored on a scale of 0-2 (total score range 0-30)

	Score	Interpretation
1.	15-19	Low intent
2.	20-28	Medium intent
3.	>29	High intent

Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I. Plus) [8]

English Version 5.0.08: Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview is a short structured diagnostic interview, developed jointly by psychiatrists and clinicians for DSMIII/IV and ICD10 based psychiatric disorders. M.I.N.I. Plus was designed for clinical practice and research in psychiatric primary care setting and takes less time to administer when compared to other similar structured interviews.

Table 1. Socio Demographic Variables In Comparison With Gender

		,	SEX TOTAL		RESULT	
		MALE (n=38) FEMALE (n=34)		IOIAL	RESULT	
	15-25yrs	16(59.3%)	11(40.7%)	27		
	26-35yrs	7(23.3%)	23(76.7%)	30		
AGE	36-45yrs	7(100%) 0(0%)		7	P=0.0001 S	
	46-55yrs		0(0%)	3		
	>55yrs	5(100%)	0(0%)	5		
DOMICILE	Rural	32(52.5%)	29(47.5%)	61	P=0.898 NS	
DOMICILE	Urban	6(54.5%)	5(45.5%)	11	F=0.898 NS	
	Hindu	31(51.7%)	29(48.3%)	60		
RELIGION	Muslim	4(66.7%)	2(33.3%)	6	P= 0.774 NS	
	Christian	3(50%)	3(50%)	6	F= 0.774 NS	
	Illiterate	13(43.3%)	17(56.7%)	30		
EDUCATION	Primary	9(56.2%)	7(43.8%)	16		
EDUCATION	Secondary		3(30%)	10	P=0.490 NS	
	Graduate	9(56.2%)	7(43.8%)	16		

Pramod KR Mallepalli et al. / Acta Biomedica Scientia. 2017;4(1):22-27.

TYPE OF FAMILY	Nuclear	35(54.7%)	29(45.3%)	64	P=0.359 NS	
TYPE OF FAMILY	Joint	3(37.5%)	5(62.5%)	8	P=0.359 NS	
OCCUPATION	Employed	18(46.2%)	21(53.8%)	39	P=0.221 NS	
OCCUPATION	Unemployed	20(60.6%)	13(39.4%)	33	P=0.221 NS	
	Lower class	29(50%)	29(50%)	58		
SOCIO ECONOMIC	Middle case	9(75%)	3(25%)	12	1	
STATUS	Upper class	0(0%)	2(100%) 2		P=0.091 NS	
	Married	22(52.4%)	20(47.6%)	42		
MARITAL STATUS	Widow	0(0%)	2(100%)	2	P= 0.293NS	
	Unmarried	16(57.1%)	12(42.9%)	28	1	
SUBSTANCE ABUSE	Present	12(85.7%)	2(14.3%)	14	P=0.001 S	
SUBSTAINCE ABUSE	Absent	26(44.8%)	32(55.2%)	58	P=0.001 S	
PAST PSYCHIATRIC	Present	9(81.8%)	2(18.2%)	11	P=0.036 S	
DISORDERS	Absent	29(47.5%)	32(52.5%)	61	P=0.036 S	
PAST SUICIDAL	Present	8(57.1%)	6(42.9%)	14		
HISTORY	absent	30(51.7%)	28(48.3%)	58	P=0.715 NS	
FAMILYHISTORY OF	Present	2(40%)	3(60%)	5	P=0.553 NS	
SUICIDE	Absent	36(53.7%)	31(46.3%)	67	P=0.333 NS	
	Financial problems	11(100%)	0(0%)	11		
	Family problems	12(27.9%)	31(72.1%)	43		
REASONS	Under the influence				P=0.0001 S	
REASONS	of psychoactive	4(100%)	0(0%)	4	P=0.0001 S	
	substance					
	others	11(78.6%)	3(21.4%)	14		
	Hanging	3(75%)	1(25%)	4		
METHODS	Poisoning	24(47.1%)	27(52.9%)	51	P=0.448 NS	
METHODS	Drowning	3(75%)	1(25%)	4	1 =0.448 NS	
	Others	8(61.5%)	5(38.5%)	13		

Table 2. Relation between suicide intent score and socio-demographic variables and clinical variables

		LOW INTENT	MEDIUM INTENT	HIGH	TOTAL	RESULT		
	15-25yrs	2(7.4%)	15(55.6%)	10(37%)	27			
	26-35yrs	12(40%)	5(16.7%)	13(43.3%)	30			
AGE	36-45yrs	0(0%)	2(28.6%)	5(71.4%)	7	P=0.002 S		
	46-55yrs	0(0%)	1(33.3%)	2(66.7%)	3			
	>55yrs	0(0%)	0(0%)	5(100%)	5			
DOMICILE	Rural	14(23%)	21(34.4%)	26(42.6%)	61	P=0.044 S		
DOMICILE	Urban	0(0%)	2(18.2%) 9(81.8%) 11		11	r=0.044 S		
	Hindu	10(16.7%)	20(33.3%)	30(50%)	60			
RELIGION	Muslim	1(16.7%)	2(33.3%)	3(50%)	6	P=0.415 NS		
	christian	3(50%)	1(16.7%)	2(33.3%)	6	1 =0.413 NS		
	Illiterate	11(36.7%)	4(13.3%)	15(50%)	30			
EDUCATION	primary	0(0%)	12(75%)	4(25%)	16	P=0.0001 S		
EDUCATION	secondary	1(10%)	2(20%)	7(70%0	10	r=0.0001 S		
	graduate	2(12.5%0	5(31.2%)	9(56.2%)	16			
TYPE OF	Nuclear	14(21.9%)	23(35.9%)	27(42.2%)	64	P=0.009 S		
FAMILY	Joint	0(0%)	0(0%)	8(100%)	8	F=0.009 S		
OCCUPATION	Employed	11(28.2%)	8(20.5%)	20(51.3%)	39	P=0.031S		
OCCUPATION	Unemployed	3(9.1%)	15(45.5%)	15(45.5%)	33	r=0.0518		
SOCIO	Lower class	12(20.7%)	19(32.8%)	27(46.6%)	58			
ECONOMIC	Middle class	2(16.7%)	3(25%)	7(58.3%)	12	P=0.884 NS		
STATUS	Upper class	0(0%)	1(50%)	1(50%)	2	P=0.864 NS		
MARITAL	Married	3(7.1%)	11(26.2%)	28(66.7%)	42			
STATUS	Widow	2(100%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	2	P=0.0001 S		
SIAIUS	Unmarried	9(32.1%)	12(42.9%)	7(25%)	28			
SUBSTANCE	Present	3(21.4%)	0(0%)	11(78.6%)	14	D_0.012 C		
ABUSE	Absent	11(19%)	23(39.7%)	24(41.4%)	58	P=0.012 S		
PAST	Present	1(9.1%)	3(27.3%)	7(63.6%)	11	·		
PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS	Absent	13(21.3%)	20(32.8%)	28(45.9%)	61	P=0.495 NS		
PAST	Present	3(21.4%)	1(7.1%)	10(71.4%)	14	P=0.073 NS		
SUICIDAL HISTORY	absent	11(19%)	22(37.9%)	25(43.1%)	58	F=0.0/3 NS		

FAMILY	Present	0(0%)	0(0%)	5(100%)	5	
HISTORY OF SUICIDE	Absent	14(20.9%)	23(34.3%)	30(44.8%)	67	P=0.058 NS
	Financial problems	0(0%)	0(0%)	11(100%)	11	
	Family problems	14(32.6%)	16(37.2%)	13(30.2%)	43	
REASONS	Under the influence of psychoactive substance	0(0%)	0(0%)	4(100%)	4	P=0.0001S
	others	0(0%)	7(50%)	7(50%)	14	
	Hanging	0(0%)	3(75%)	1(25%)	4	
METHODS	Poisoning	14(27.5%)	15(29.4%)	22(43.1%)	51	P=0.097 NS
METHODS	Drowning	0(0%)	1(25%)	3(75%)	` /	P=0.097 NS
	others	0(0%)	4(30.8%)	9(69.2%)	13	

Table 3. Relation between M.I.N.I Plus Diagnosis and Socio-demographic Variables and Clinical Variables

Variables		A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	TOTAL	RESUTS
	15-25yrs	6	0	0	3	2	2	1	13	27	
	26-35yrs	8	2	0	6	0	1	2	11	30	
AGE	36-45yrs	3	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	7	
	46-55yrs	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	P=0.0001 S
	>55yrs	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	
SEX	Male	12	0	1	12	2	3	0	8	38	
SEX	Female	10	2	0	2	0	1	3	16	34	
DOMICH E	rural	18	2	1	10	2	4	2	22	61	P=0.0001 S
DOMICILE	urban	4	0	0	4	0	0	1	2	11	P=0.0001 S
DELICION	Hindu	18	2	1	10	2	4	3	20	60	
RELIGION	Muslim	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	6	P=0.0001 S
	christian	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	6	
	Illiterate	12	0	0	7	0	1	1	9	30	
EDUCATION	primary	6	1	1	0	0	1	1	6	16	
EDUCATION	secondary	2	0	0	4	0	1	1	2	10	P=0.0001S
	graduate	2	1	0	1	2	1	0	7	16	
TYPE OF FAMILY	Nuclear	18	2	1	11	2	4	3	23	64	D 0 624 NG
TYPE OF FAMILY	joint	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	8	P=0.624 NS
OCCUDATION	Employed	13	1	1	7	1	2	2	12	39	D. 0.000 NG
OCCUPATION	Unemployed	9	1	0	7	1	2	1	12	33	P=0.980 NS
godio Egoviovida	lower	16	2	1	11	1	4	3	20	58	P=0.719 NS
SOCIO ECONOMIC	middle	6	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	12	
STATUS	upper	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	
	Married	16	2	1	9	1	3	2	8	42	P=0.513 NS
MARITAL STATUS	Widow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	
	Unmarried	6	0	0	5	1	1	1	14	28	
SUBSTANCE	Present	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	14	D 0 0001 C
ABUSE	Absent	22	2	1	0	2	4	3	24	58	P=0.0001 S
PAST	Present	5	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	11	
PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS	Absent	17	1	10	10	2	4	3	24	61	P=0.023 S
PAST SUICIDAL	Present	7	0	0	2	0	1	1	3	14	P=0.571 NS
HISTORY	absent	15	2	1	12	2	3	2	21	58	
FAMILY HISTORY	Present	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	D 0.255 NG
OF SUICIDE	Absent	20	1	1	14	2	4	3	22	67	P=0.355 NS
REASONS	Financial problems	7	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	11	P=0.048 S
	Family problems	11	2	1	4	1	3	3	18	43	
	Under the influence of psychoactive substance	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	
	others	4	0	0	4	1	0	0	5	14	
	Hanging	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	4	
METHODO	Poisoning	14	0	0	9	1	4	3	20	51	D 0.012.5
METHODS	Drowning	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	P=0.013 S
	others	4	1	0	4	1	0	0	3	13	

A=Depression, **B**=Dysthymia, **C**=BPAD, **D**=Alcohol Dependence Syndrome, **E**=Schizophrenia, **F**=Anti-social Personality, **G**=Adjustment Disorder, **H**=Deliberate Self Harm

DISCUSSION

In our study most of the attempters were in the age group of 26-35 years (41.6%). There was male (52.8%) predominance in the sample shown in table 1.

Gender has significant association with age, alcohol substance abuse, past psychiatric history and reasons for attempting suicide. More males (59.3%) are in the age group of 15-25 years, past history of psychiatric disorder was found in (81.8%) males, with substance abuse problems in (85.7%), and stated financial problems (100%) and chronic medical illnesses (78.6%) as a reason for the attempt when compared to the females shown in table 1.

These finding is in agreement with the Bansal et al¹⁰ and Nagendra et al¹¹ where males outnumbered females. Here, more number of males attempted suicide in 20 to 39year age group.

High suicide intent score was present in majority of the sample (48.6%), followed by medium intent present in (31.9%) of the sample.

High suicide intent score had a significant relationship (p<0.05) with age, gender, domicile, education, type of family, occupation marital status, substance abuse, and reasons for attempting for suicide seen in table 2.

Similar study shown in harris L et.al¹² found that high suicide intent was associated with increase in age in both genders, divorced,low socio economic status, unemployed ,financial problems.

Psychiatric diagnosis had a significant relationship (p<0.05) with sex, alcohol substance abuse, past history of psychiatric disorder, reasons for attempting suicide, and methods adopted for suicidal

attempt. Majority (66.7%) had a psychiatric diagnosis. The most common psychiatric diagnosis found in the sample was depression (30.6%), followed by alcohol dependence syndrome (19.4%) and anti-social personality was seen (5.6%) shown in table 3.

Similar study shown in Jain et al¹⁹ reported depression is most common followed by alcohol dependence, schizophrenia.

CONCLUSION

- Patients with psychiatric illnesses should be given utmost care. They should not be left alone, should be given periodic counseling and treatment.
- Availability of pesticides mostly organ phosphorous compounds & household chemicals can also be restricted.
- Culturally compatible interventions, such as the community based educational program to reduce alcohol misuse reported by should be considered in this regard.
- Mental health service should be made on integral part of community health programs.

LIMITATIONS

- This was a hospital based study; hence may not be representative of general population.
- Follow-up information was not available for the patients in the study sample.
- Some suicides might have been missed owing to their non attending psychiatric department out of stigma.

REFERENCES

- 1. Durkheim E. (1952). Suicide: A study in sociology, translated by Spaulding J and Simpson G. Rouledge and Kegan Paul. London.
- 2. Kreitman N (ed): (1977). Parasuicide. London, John Wiley, X.
- 3. Schmidtke A, Bille-Brahe U, DeLeo D. (1996). Attempted suicide in Europe: rates, trends and sociodemographic characteristics of suicide attempters during the period 1989–1992. Results of the WHO/EURO Multicentre Study on Parasuicide. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 93(5), 327–338.
- Matthew K Nock, Guilherme Borges, Evelyn J. Bromet, Jordi Alonso, Matthias Angermeyer, Annette Beautrais. (2008). Cross-national prevalence and risk factors for suicidal ideation, plans and attempts. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 192, 98-105.
- 5. National crime records Bureau, Accidental deaths and Suicides in India, Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India, 2008.
- 6. Vijay Kumar L, Raj Kumar S. (1999). Are risk factors for suicide universal? A case control study in India. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*, 99, 407-11.
- 7. Beautrais AL, Joyce PR, Mulder RT, Fergusson DT, Deavoll BJ, and Nightingale SK. (1996). Prevalence and comorbidity of mental disorders in persons making serious suicide attempts, a case-control study. *Am J Psychiatry*, 153, 667-673.
- 8. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. (1961). An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 4(6), 561-571.

Pramod KR Mallepalli et al. / Acta Biomedica Scientia. 2017;4(1):22-27.

- 9. Sheehan V, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan H. The MINI (Mini International Neuro-psychiatric Interview). (1998). The development and validation of a structured psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 59(20), 22-33.
- 10. Bansal P, Gupta A, Kumar R. (2011). The Psychopathology and the Socio demographic Determinants of Attempted Suicide Patients. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 5(5), 917-920.
- 11. Nagendra Gouda MR, Rao S M. (2008). Factors related to attempted suicide in Davanagere. *Indian J of Community Medicine*, 33(1), 15-18.
- 12. Harris EC and Barruclough B. (1997). Suicide as an out-come for mental disorder, a meta-analysis. *British J Psychiatry*, 170, 205-228.
- 13. Jain V, Singh H, Gupta SC, Kumar S. (1999). A study of hopelessness, suicidal intent and depression in cases of attempted suicide. *Indian J Psychiatry*, 41(2), 122-130.

Cite this article:

Prasanna Latha S, Raghuram Macharapu, Pramod KR Mallepalli, Ravulapati Sateesh Babu, Sakamuri Manjula. A study into the psycho-socio-demographic profile and suicidal intent in the persons making suicide attempt. *Acta Biomedica Scientia*. 2017;4(1):22-27. **DOI:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21276/abs.2017.4.1.6



Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International