

Journal homepage: www.mcmed.us/journal/ejmbb

CORRELATION OF SEAG WITH PLEURAL FLUID PROTEIN THIOLS AS PARAMETER TO DIFFERENTIATE EXUDATES FROM **TRANSUDATES**

Moin Sabeer*

Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, KBN Medical college, Gulberga, Karnataka, India.

Article Info	ABSTRACT
Received 03/11/2016	Recently SEAG has been reported as good parameter with sensitivity and specificity of
Revised 12/11/2016	95% and 100%. SEAG is thought to directly reflect the colloidal osmotic pressure. The
Accepted 19/122016	main advantage of SEAG was reduction in number of patient with transudate receiving
	concurrent diuretic therapy being misclassified as exudates. Oxidative status of pleural
Key words:- SEAG,	fluid has been analyzed by taking pleural fluid malondialdehyde level (PMDA) as well as
Pleural Fluid, Protein,	different antioxidant enzymes to differentiate transudates from exudates. A total of 56
Thiols.	patients of pleural effusion were taken with diverse etiology, and then venous blood sample
	and pleural fluid were collected from these patients after diagnosing clinically,
	radiologically and after thoracocentesis. The greater differential value was found with a
	combination of SEAG and pleural fluid protein thiols, which correctly classified 92.31% of
	transudates and 93.34% of exudates with sensitivity and specificity of 92.31% and 83.34%
	and 93.34% and 92.31% respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Pleural effusion is a common clinical disorder and is either a manifestation or a complication of one or other respiratory or non-respiratory disease.1 An effusion is termed as transudate when its formation is due to alteration in mechanical factor such as hydrostatic pressure, colloid oncotic pressure, leading to transudative effusion and the causes for this are congestive cardiac failure, pulmonary embolism, nephrotic syndrome, severe anemia, cirrhosis of liver etc. In contrast exudative pleural effusion occurs due to accumulation of fluid or destruction of pleural integrity by inflammatory or infiltration in pleural cavity leads to increase in micro vascular permeability. Causes of pleural effusion are T.B., pneumonia and malignancy [1,2].

So the first step in evaluation of type of pleural effusion is whether it is transudative or exudative [3] and

Corresponding Author

Moin Sabeer Email: - drmoinsabeer@yahoo.com

4 | Page

this can be done by light's criteria but many pleural effusion has been misclassified as transdates and exudates [2]. For the past several decades trasudates have been differentiated from exudates, according to light's criteria [4] by measurement of levels of protein and LDH in the serum and the pleural fluid. Since then, several alternative measurements have been proposed, for making this distinction, viz. Serum effusion albumin gradient, protein gradient [5,6] pleural fluid cholesterol [7] pleural fluid/serum cholesterol ratio [7,8] pleural fluid Bilirubin [9] etc. The criteria of Light's et al remain the best method for distinguishing exudates from 2 transudates. The serumeffusion albumin gradient (SEAG) is useful when patients are receiving concurrent diuretic therapy [10].

e - ISSN - 2348-2206

EJMBB

Light's criteria for exudates are very sensitive but an albumin gradient of 1.2 gm/dl or less tends to be more specific especially in congestive cardiac failure (CCF) on diuretics [10,11]. Light's criteria are the most sensitive for identifying exudates but have lower specificity than other criteria. The main disadvantage of the lights criteria, however, appears to be the occurrence of an exudative



range of protein levels in many patients with congestive heart failure (CHF) a phenomenon first noted by Pillay [12] in 1965, and later confirmed by Chakko et al [13,14]. This may lead to unnecessary investigations being done in these patients. The problem of high protein transudates is more common in the evaluation of ascites too, which has led to the development of serum - ascites albumin gradient. A gradient of less than 1.1 g/dl has been shown to be the best predictor of exudative ascites and has become an accepted method for differentiating exudate from transudate [15]. It was recommended that if the clinical appearance suggests a transudative effusion but the pleural effusion is an exudate according to Light's criteria, then the difference between serum and pleural fluid albumin levels by a level of more than 1.2 gm/dl would suggest the effusion to be a transudate.

The disadvantage of light's criteria is low specificity and misclassification in about 20-30%.the most widely accepted light's criteria is

- a. Pleural protein to serum protein rates greater than 0.5
- b. Pleural fluid to serum LDH ratio >0.6
- c. Pleural fluid LDH>200 IU/L denoting an exudates.

But the main disadvantage is misclassification of transudates as exudates.

Recently SEAG has been reported as good parameter with sensitivity and specificity of 95% and 100%. SEAG is thought to directly reflect the colloidal osmotic pressure. The main advantage of SEAG was reduction in number of patient with transudate receiving concurrent diuretic therapy being misclassified as exudates. Oxidative status of pleural fluid has been analyzed by taking pleural fluid malondialdehyde level (PMDA) as well as different antioxidant enzymes to differentiate transudates from exudates. The imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants referred as oxidative stress has been associated with various respiratory disorders . Albumin a major plasma protein contains an exposed -SH group over cysteine -34 residues provide the bulk of total thiol pool. These reduced thiol groups that exist both intracellular and extracellular contribute majority of the total antioxidant capacity of the plasma. With the above fact the present study is designed to evaluate SEAG and pleural fluid protein thiol level as parameter to differentiate transudates from exudates.

METHODOLOGY

A total of 56 patients of pleural effusion were taken with diverse etiology, then venous blood sample and pleural fluid were collected from these patients after diagnosing clinically, radiologically and after thora cocentesis.

Inclusion Criteria:

Cases clinically diagnosed as having pleural effusion with diverse etiology

Exclusion Criteria:

Cases with either no cause were definitely diagnosed or more than one cause present will be excluded from the study.

Data was collected on standard Proforma, detailing the medical history, physical examination and investigation.

Parameters Studied:

- 1. Serum Albumin
- 2. Serum Total Proteins
- 3. Serum Lactate dehydrogenase
- 4. Serum protein thiols
- 5. Pleural Fluid Albumin
- 6. Pleural Fluid Total Protein
- 7. Pleural Fluid Lactate dehydrogenase
- 8. Pleural Fluid Protein thiols

Other Investigations:

1. Chest X-Ray - PA view

2. C-T Scan of Chest

The biochemical parameters were estimated and calculated. 1. Criteria of light et al (namely: pleural fluid/serum protein ratio, pleural fluid/serum LDH ratio, pleural fluid LDH concentration)

2. Albumin gradient (serum albumin concentration minus pleural effusion albumin concentration)

3. Protein gradient (serum total protein concentration minus pleural effusion total protein concentration) when separating transduates from exudates cut off points recommended in literature were used.

The clinical presumption of nature of effusion (transudate or exudates) was based on all available information obtained just before performing thoracocentesis and was compared with that obtained from biochemical criteria.

Biochemical parameters were determined using semi auto analyzer chem.-7 and spectrophotometer.

RESULTS

In the present study, in a series of 56 patients, used the serum- effusion albumin gradient for the classification of pleural effusions with a cut-off value of 1.2 g/dl, of 24 of 26 transudates, and 28 of the 30 exudates were classified correctly. The mean albumin gradients were significantly raised in transudates $(3.82 \pm 0.45 \text{g/dl})$ as compared to exudates $(3.08 \pm 0.44 \text{g/dl})$ with p value of <0.001 .This method resulted in a sensitivity of 92.31%, and a specificity 92.31% respectively. The present study has shown that even though taking into account the light's criteria and SEAG in differentiating exudates and transudates. The greater differential value was found with a combination of SEAG and pleural fluid protein thiols, which correctly classified 92.31% of transudates and 93.34% of exudates with sensitivity and specificity of 92.31% and 83.34% and 93.34% and 92.31% respectively.



Table 1. Sex Distribution

Total No	56	Transudates	Exudates	
Males	34	12	22	
Females	22	14	8	

Table 2. Final Diagnosis

Transudates	Exudates
CCF:14	TB :21
Anemia:05	Malignancy :07
Cirrhosis;07	Empyema :02
Total :26(46.42%)	Total :30(53.58%)

Table 3. Exudative effusions

Final diagnosis		SEAG		Light's Criteria	
TB	21	TB	20	TB	18
Malignancy	07	Malignancy	06	Malignancy	04
Empyema	02	Empyema	02	Empyema	01
Total	30	Total	28	Total	23

Table 4. Transudative effusions

Final Diagnosis		SEAG		Light's Criteria	
CCF	14	CCF	13	CCF	11
Cirrhosis	07	Cirrhosis	06	Cirrhosis	05
Anemia	05	Anemia	05	Anemia	04
Total	26	Total	24	Total	20

Table 5 a. Sensitivity, Specificity and PPV of SEAG

SEAC	Exudate		
SLAG	Present	Absent	
Positive	28(tp)	02(fp)	
Negative	02(fn)	24(tn)	

Table 5 b. Sensitivity, Specificity and PPV of SEAG

SEAG	Exudate		
	Present	Absent	
Positive	24(tp)	5(fp)	
Negative	02(FN)	25(TN)	



DISCUSSION

Both albumin and globulin fraction in pleural fluid are believed to originate from serum via diffusion. However some protein like LDH comes from within pleural space i.e from pleural leucocytes. As pleural fluid albumin is originating from serum, measurement of serum effusion albumin gradient (SEAG) was considered as effective measure in discriminating exudates from transudates[5]. A study conducted by Roth et al [6] used serum-effusion albumin gradient for the classification of



pleural effusions. With a cut-off value of 1.2 g/dI, all transudates and exudates were classified correctly with sensitivity and specificity of 87%, and 92%, respectively. A similar study conducted by Arijit Kumar Das [17] et al and K.B. Gupta [18] et al also showed that though the criteria of light's helps in differentiation of exudates from transudates. But criteria used by light's et al misclassified 33% in transudates and 14% in exudates. But after taking into account the SEAG with a cut off value of 1.2g/dl none of the transudates and only 2% of exudates were misclassified with sensitivity specificity and PPV of 97.9%, 100% and 100% respectively. This has led for consideration of SEAG as parameter to differentiate exudates from transudates. In our present study which comprised of 56 patients after taking into account SEAG with a cut off value of 1.2g/dl, 24 of 26 transudates and 28 of 30 exudates were classified correctly. The mean albumin gradients were significantly raised in transudates $(3.82 \pm 0.45 \text{g/dl})$ as compared to exudates (3.08 ± 0.44) g/dl) with p value of <0.001. This method resulted in a sensitivity of 92.31% and a specificity 92.31% respectively.

The results presented in this study demonstrate that the concentration of protein thiol in serum was markedly reduced in patients with exudates compared to transudates.

The decreased plasma thiol levels may be due to enhanced free radical generation in patients with exudates, which is mainly due to several inflammatory condition associated with exudative pathology. On contrary

transudative effusion is not related majorly to inflammatory pathology but results from an imbalance between hydrostatic and oncotic pressure. Therefore there is no much generation of free radicals in transudates. Serum albumin correlated positively with serum protein thiols (r=0.570, p<0.001) in exudates, but no correlation seen in transudates. Pleural fluid protein thiols correlated positively with SEAG (r=0.276, p<0.001) in exudates and correlated negatively in transudates (r = -0.357, p<0.001). Thus in the group of well characterized pleural effusion the measurement of serum and pleural fluid protein thiols could be a better marker for differentiation of exudates and transudates and measurement of protein thiols could provide better sensitivity and specificity for the characterization of effusion as an exudate when compared to light's criteria. However, to overcome the limitation of misclassification by using criteria of light et al, SEAG along with estimation of serum and pleural fluid protein thiols in addition to light's criteria could be a better alternative in differentiation of exudates and transudates in clinical practice.

CONCLUSION

However to overcome the limitation of misclassification by using light's criteria, we advocate measurement of serum and pleural fluid protein thiols along with SEAG could be better alternative in differentiation of exudates and transudates in clinical practice.

REFERENCES

- 1. Black LF. (1972). The pleural space and pleural fluid. Mayo Olin Proc, 47, 493-506.
- 2. Gupta KB. (2002). Evaluation of pleural fluid & MDA levels in differentiating transudative & exudative pleural effusion. *Ind journal*, 49, 97-100.
- 3. Sahn SA. (1988). State of the art the pleura. Am Rev Respir Dis, 138, 184-234.
- 4. Broaddus VC, Light RW. (2002). What is the origin of pleura transudates and exudates. Chest, 992, 658-9.
- 5. Light RW, Mac Gregor I, Luelisinger PC, Ball WC. (1972). Pleural effusions: the diagnostic separations of transudates and exudates. *Ann Intern Med*, 77, 507-73.
- 6. Roth BJ, OMeara TF, Cragun WH. (1990). The serum effusion albumin gradient in the evaluation of pleural effusion. *Chest*, 98, 546-9.
- 7. Dhar MC, Chaudhary S, Basu K, et al. (2000). Serum effusion albumin gradient in the differential diagnosis of pleural effusion. *Ind Tub*, 18, 241-45.
- 8. Hamm H, Brohan U, Bohmer R, et al. (1987). Cholesterol in pleural effusions: a diagnostic aid. Chest, 92, 296-302.
- 9. Valdes L, Pose A, Suarez J, et al.(1991). Cholesterol: a useful parameter for distinguishing between pleural exudates and transudates. *Chest*, 99, 1097-1102.
- 10. Meisel S, Shamis A. Thaler M, et al. (1990). Pleural fluid to serum bilirubin concentration ratio for the separation of transudates from exudates. *Chest*, 98, 141-44.
- 11. Burgess U, Maritz EJ, Taljaard JJ. (1995). Comparative analysis of the biochemical parameters used to distinguish between pleural transudates and exudates. *Chest*, 107, 1604-9.
- 12. Romero S, Fernadez C, Martin C. (2001) Influence of diuretics on the concentration of proteins and other• components of pleural transudates in patients with heart failure. *Am J Med*, 110, 681-6.
- 13. Pillay VKG.(1965). Total proteins in serous fluids in cardiac failure. S Afr Med J, 39, 142-43.
- 14. Chakko SC, Caidwell SH, Sforza PP. (1989). Treatment of congestive heart failure: its effect on pleural fluid chemistry. *Chest*, 95, 798-802.
- 15. Chakko S. (1990). Pleural effusion in congestive heart failure. Chest, 95, 521.



- 16. Rector WG. Jr, Reynolds TB. (1984). Superiority: of the serum-aspites albumin difference over the ascites total protein concentration in separation of "transudative" and "exudative" ascites. *Am.J Med*, 77, 83-5.
- 17. Arijit k, Krishna B.(1976). A study on significance of serum effusion albumin gradient in the differential diagnosis of pleural effusion. *JAMA*, 236, 2183-6.
- 18. Gupta KB, Aggarwal SK, Sanjay K, Manav Manchanda.(1995). Evaluation of plasma pleural effusion albumin gradient for differentiating between pleural transudate and exudates. *Clin Chem*, 41, 1314.

