e-ISSN - 2348-2184 Print ISSN - 2348-2176



# AMERICAN JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

Journal homepage: www.mcmed.us/journal/ajbpr

# **EVALUATION OF NUTRITIVE CONTENTS FROM SOME PLANT SPECIES OF SIROHI DISTRICT OF RAJASTHAN**

## **B B.B.S. Kapoor\* and Deepak Kumar**

Herbal Research laboratory, P.G. Department of Botany, Dungar College, Bikaner- 334001, India.

Article Info	ABSTRACT
Received 28/12/2014	Evaluation of mineral contents from three selected plant species of plant species growing in
Revised 16/01/2015	Sirohi district of Rajasthan was carried out. The stems, leaves and fruits of Butea
Accepted 16/02/2015	monosperma, Cassia fistula and Madhuka indica collected from three different areas
	Mount Abu, Pindwara and Shivganj were analysed for mineral contents. It was found that
Key words: -Nutritive	Crude protein (33.83%) was found maximum in fruits and Crude fibre (37.27%) in stems
content, Plant species,	of Cassia fistula While Crude fat (7.12%) in fruits of Madhuka indica and Total
Sirohi district,	carbohydrates (32.56%) in stems of Butea monosperma collected from Mount Abu area.
Rajasthan.	

### INTRODUCTION

The scarcity of vegetation in South-west Rajasthan region restricts the choice of various plant species for their use as feed and fodder. The plants of this region are potential source of nutritionally important compounds. The animals and human beings in this region are fully dependent on these plants for food, fodder, fibre and fuel. The plant species growing in this region besides their medicinal importance may contain sufficient amount of nutrients to be considered as livestock feed. A number of plants have been analysed for their nutritive contents [1-9].

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation deals with nutritive contents of stems, leaves and fruits of *Butea monosperma*, *Cassia fistula* and *Madhuka indica* growing in the Sirohi district of Rajasthan. These were collected from three different areas Mount Abu, Pindwara and Shivganj. The

Corresponding Author

#### **B B.B.S. Kapoor**

Email:- bbskapoor@rediffmail.com

stems, leaves and fruits were separately dried at  $100^{\circ}$  C for 15 minutes so as to inactivate the enzymes followed by  $60^{\circ}$  C till a constant weight was achieved. These dried samples were powdered using 20mesh screen in Willey mill and then subjected to chemical analysis by A.O.A.C. procedure for Crude protein, Crude fat, Crude fibre and Total Carbohydrates [10].

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Concentration of the nutritive contents in the various plant parts (stems, leaves and fruits) of all the selected plant species collected from three different sites i.e. Mount Abu, Pindwara and Shivganj areas are presented in Table- 1.

Crude protein was found maximum (33.83%) in the fruits of *Cassia fistula* collected from Mount Abu area and minimum (4.98%) in the stems of *Butea monosperma* collected from Shivganj area (Table-1).

Concentration of Crude Fibre was observed maximum (37.27%) in the stems of *Cassia fistula* collected from Mount Abu area and minimum (6.32%) in the fruits of *Butea monosperma* collected from the Shivganj area (Table-1).

Maximum (7.12%) Crude Fat was found in the

**40** | P a g e AMERICAN JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH



fruits of *Madhuka indica collected* from Mount Abu area while minimum (0.55%) in the leaves of Butea monosperma collected from Shivganj area (Table-1).

Total Carbohydrates were found maximum

(32.56%) in the stems of *Butea monosperma* collected from Mount Abu area while minimum (5.45%) in fruits of *Cassia fistula* collected from Shivganj area (Table-1).

Table 1. Nutriti	ve contents of	selected tree	species in p	oercentage (	on dry matte	r basis.	Values are	mean <u>+</u>	SE (Five
samples for each	i plant)								

Mineral	Sites	Butea monosperma			Cassia fistula			Madhuka indica			
contents		Stems	Leaves	Fruits	Stems	Leaves	Fruits	Stems	Leaves	Fruits	
Crude Protein	Mount Abu	5.73	13.32	20.50	6.78	16.00	33.83	12.80	5.05	14.28	
		<u>+</u> 0.06	<u>+</u> 0.18	<u>+</u> 0.21	<u>+</u> 0.04	<u>+</u> 0.08	<u>+</u> 0.09	<u>+</u> 0.08	<u>+</u> 0.20	<u>+</u> 0.19	
	Pindwara	5.54	13.12	19.98	5.82	15.78	32.80	15.42	8.23	11.27	
		<u>+</u> 0.20	<u>+</u> 0.10	<u>+</u> 0.02	<u>+</u> 0.15	<u>+</u> 0.12	<u>+</u> 0.46	<u>+</u> 0.22	<u>+</u> 0.15	<u>+</u> 0.48	
	Shivganj	4.98	12.44	20.18	5.62	15.44	32.56	14.15	9.14	8.03	
		<u>+</u> 0.06	<u>+</u> 0.20	<u>+</u> 0.44	<u>+</u> 0.20	<u>+</u> 0.11	<u>+</u> 0.11	<u>+</u> 0.08	<u>+</u> 0.09	<u>+</u> 0.18	
Crude Fibre	Mount Abu	33.33	9.61	6.95	37,27	11.29	7.88	24.23	22.50	17.23	
		<u>+</u> 0.17	<u>+</u> 0.14	<u>+</u> 0.12	<u>+</u> 0.15	<u>+</u> 0.81	<u>+</u> 0.08	<u>+</u> 0.17	<u>+</u> 0.19	<u>+</u> 0.33	
	Pindwara	32.48	9.54	6.57	36.88	11.18	7.52	22.31	21.21	18.58	
		<u>+</u> 0.18	<u>+</u> 0.20	<u>+</u> 0.42	<u>+</u> 0.12	<u>+</u> 0.15	<u>+</u> 0.24	<u>+</u> 0.18	<u>+</u> 0.44	<u>+</u> 0.15	
	Shivganj	32.00	9.04	6.32	36.54	11.00	6.88	20.27	25.72	15.16	
		<u>+</u> 0.18	<u>+</u> 0.08	<u>+</u> 0.28	<u>+</u> 0.51	<u>+</u> 0.21	<u>+</u> 0.38	<u>+</u> 0.43	<u>+</u> 0.17	<u>+</u> 0.26	
Crude Fat	Mount Abu	1.17	0.61	3.84	1.33	3.20	3.75	3.28	1.20	7.12	
		<u>+</u> 0.78	<u>+</u> 0.69	<u>+</u> 0.41	<u>+</u> 0.34	<u>+</u> 0.54	<u>+</u> 0.10	<u>+</u> 0.21	<u>+</u> 0.70	<u>+</u> 0.38	
	Pindwara	1.05	0.60	3.58	1.21	3.27	3.64	4.59	1.80	6.20	
		<u>+</u> 0.12	<u>+</u> 0.22	<u>+</u> 0.32	<u>+</u> 0.26	<u>+</u> 0.18	<u>+</u> 0.15	<u>+</u> 0.44	<u>+</u> 0.15	<u>+</u> 0.28	
	Shivganj	1.10	0.55	3.24	1.00	3.00	3.42	5.46	1.07	5.51	
		<u>+</u> 0.21	<u>+</u> 0.17	<u>+</u> 0.59	<u>+</u> 0.09	<u>+</u> 0.14	<u>+</u> 0.41	<u>+</u> 0.31	<u>+</u> 0.52	<u>+</u> 0.21	
Total Carbohydrate	Mount Abu	15.60	32.56	21.40	8.65	9.38	5.73	15.00	6.10	7.20	
		<u>+</u> 0.08	<u>+</u> 0.67	<u>+</u> 0.24	<u>+</u> 0.27	<u>+</u> 0.29	<u>+</u> 0.79	<u>+</u> 0.38	<u>+</u> 0.65	<u>+</u> 0.44	
	Pindwara	15.34	32.00	21.00	8.24	9.48	5.79	19.20	6.20	8.40	
		<u>+</u> 0.34	<u>+</u> 0.26	<u>+</u> 0.17	<u>+</u> 0.12	<u>+</u> 0.19	<u>+</u> 0.18	<u>+</u> 0.14	<u>+</u> 0.24	<u>+</u> 0.15	
	Shivganj	15.00	31.76	20.44	8.54	8.92	5.45	17.67	5.69	6.34	
		<u>+</u> 0.26	<u>+</u> 0.27	<u>+</u> 0.32	<u>+</u> 0.26	<u>+</u> 0.49	<u>+</u> 0.24	<u>+</u> 0.28	<u>+</u> 0.32	<u>+</u> 0.39	

### CONCLUSION

The present study indicates that these plant species growing in the Sirohi region of Rajasthan have sufficient amount of mineral contents, which may be useful as feed and fodder for the livestock.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge the UGC, Bhopal for providing the financial assistance for the project.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Singh N, Sharma K, Ojha J L. (1989). Chemical composition and nutritive value of Siris( *Albizia lebbeck*) and Subabul (*Leucaena leucocephala*) pods in goats. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.* 6,259-261.
- 2. Kapoor BBS, Mathur S K, Nag TN. (1988). Nutritive status of *Aerva tomentosa* growing in arid zone of Rajasthan, *Oikoassay*, 5(1), 1-2
- 3. Mathur SK, Kapoor BBS, Nag TN. (1989). Proximate composition of some arid zone plants. *Indian J Anim Nutr*, 5(2), 170-172.
- 4. Sharma TP, Sen DN, (1993). Nutritive status of *Tamarix* sps. In Indian arid zone, *Proceedings* the 3<sup>rd</sup> conference of association of plant physiologists of SAARC countries (APPSC) and annual convention of PGRSJ on the plant physiology and biotechnology in relation to improving plant productivity (Abstracts), Ahmedabad. 22.
- 5. Kapoor BBS, Ritu. (2001). Nutritive value of some trees of western Rajasthan. I J of Env Sciences, 5(1), 37-39.
- 6. Kapoor BBS, Sarika. (2002). Nutritive value of some arid zone forage legumes. Journal of Phytological Research. 15(1),

#### 41 | P a g e AMERICAN JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH



103-105.

- 7. Kapoor BBS, Ranga P, Harsh G. (2004). Evaluation of nutritive status of some arid zone Asteraceous plants. *Range Management and Agro-forestry*, 25, 86-87.
- 8. Kapoor BBS, Bhumika, Khatri JS, Ranga P. (2004). Nutritive Status of Some Arid Zone Tree Species. *J of Phyt Res*, 17(1), 105-106.
- 9. Gaur R, Kapoor BBS. (2008). Nutritive value of Some Herbaceous Plants of Churu district of Shekhawati Region. *Indian Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 12(1), 29-30.
- 10. A.O.A.C. (1995). *Official method of analysis of Association of Official Agricultural Chemists*, International Washington D.C, U.S.A, 16<sup>th</sup> ed., Vol I, & II, Cunnifit, PA (Ed.).

